ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಎಂ. ಕೊಟ್ರಬಸಯ್ಯಸೋಗಿ.—ಕಾಯಿದೆ ಕಾನೂನು ಉರ್ದು ಟೀಚರ್ಸ್ ಅಂತ ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕವಾಗಿ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಟೀಚರ್ಸ್‌ಗೆ ಇರತಕ್ಕ ನಿಯಮಾವಳಿಯೇ ಅವರಿಗೂ ಅನ್ವಯಿಸುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಎಸ್. ಪಟೇಗೌಡರ್.—ಉರ್ದು ಶಾಲೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅನ್‌ಟ್ರೈನ್ಡ್ ಟೀಚರ್ಸ್ ಎಷ್ಟು? ಅವರಷ್ಟರನ್ನೂ ಪೂರ್ತಿ ಟ್ರೈನ್ ಮಾಡಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಕಾಲಾವಕಾಶ ಬೇಕು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಎಂ. ಕೊಟ್ರಬಸಯ್ಯ ಸೋಗಿ.—ನೋಟೀಸ್.

Mutation register entries in the Revenue Department

174. Sri KESHAV RAO NITTURKAR (Teachers' Constituency).—

Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether it has come to the notice of the Government that certain irregularities exist in granting the Mutation Register entries by the Revenue Inspectors ;

(*b*) whether it has come to the notice of Government that the Tahsildars have been rendered ineffective and helpless spectators once an entry has been certified by the Revenue Inspectors ;

(*c*) whether there is any proposal to effect needful changes in the Rules and procedure to empower Tahsildars as the first appellate and checking authority in respect of Mutation entries certified by the touring Revenue Inspectors ?

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI (Minister for Revenue).—

(*a*) No.

(*b*) No. There is a provision for appeal.

(*c*) No.

Sri KESHAV RAO NITTURKAR.—What reasons goaded the Government to avoid the supervisory and correcting powers of the Tahsildar in respect of mutations sanctioned by the Revenue Inspector and vesting those powers in the Assistant Commissioner and complicating matters and putting the aggrieved to huge expenditure and delayed justice ?

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—Entries are too many and more than one Officer is necessary to certify them. If only the Tahsildar is appointed to certify them, the work will be too heavy for one Officer.

Sri KESHAV RAO NITTURKAR.—Then, will the Government consider the proposal to amend rules and provide therein that no sooner a touring Revenue Inspector certifies about the mutation entry, he should inform the Tahsildar by sending a copy of that entry within a week ?

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—The procedure suggested by the hon. Member is not practical. If the person concerned is aggrieved, he could have it remedied in appeal.

Sri KESHAV RAO NITTURKAR.—Will the Government consider the suggestion that the first appeal may lie to the Tahsildar and not to the A. C. ?

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—No, Sir. I have already stated that more than one Officer is required to certify the entries and one appellate authority is quite sufficient. From practical experience it has been found that the present arrangement is quite correct.

Water supply scheme to Davangere City

230. Sri A. H. SHIVANANDASWAMY (Elected by L.A.).—

Will the Minister of State for Municipal Administration be pleased to state ;—

(*a*) when the comprehensive water supply scheme was proposed and sanctioned for Davangere City ;

(*b*) when it was revised and for the revised amount ;

(*c*) when it will be completed ;

Sri B. M. PATIL (Minister of State for Municipal Administration).—

(*a*) (i) The original scheme was proposed in the year 1957 ;

(ii) It was sanctioned in the year 1968.

(*b*) It was revised in the year 1968 and the revised amount is Rs. 49.00 lakhs.

(*c*) The revised scheme is being approved by Government. As such it is not possible to state the exact period by which it will be completed ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ವಿ. ಅಂಜನಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ಎಸ್ಟಿಮೇಟ್‌ನ್ನು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಹತ್ತು ವರ್ಷಗಳ ಕಾಲ ಏಕೆ ಬೇಕಾಯಿತು ?

† ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಮೊದಲು ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಆಫ್ ಇಂಡಿಯಾದವರು ಮಾಡ ಬೇಕು, ಅವರಿಂದ ಹಣ ಪಡೆಯಬೇಕೆಂದು ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಅವರಿಂದ ಹಣ ಸಿಕ್ಕಲಿಲ್ಲ ವೆಂಬುವಾಯಿತು. ಅದಾದಮೇಲೆ ಲೈಫ್ ಇನ್ಷ್ಯೂರನ್ಸ್ ಕಾರ್ಪೋರೇಷನ್‌ಗೆ ಬರೆಯ ಬೇಕಾಯಿತು. ಈ ರೀತಿಯಿಂದ ನಗರ ಪಾಲಿಕೆ ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಮತ್ತು ಡಿವಿಜನಲ್ ಕಮೀಷನರ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಇವನ್ನು ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಇಷ್ಟು ವೇಳೆ ಹಿಡಿಯಿತು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ವಿ. ಅಂಜನಪ್ಪ.—ಮೊದಲು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು ಅಂದಾಜೆಷ್ಟು ? ಇತ್ತೀಚೆಗೆ ರೆವೈಜ್ ಮಾಡಿದ ಅಂದಾಜು ಎಷ್ಟು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—1961ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದ ಅಂದಾಜು 32 ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳು. ಇತ್ತೀಚೆಗೆ ರಿವೈಜಾದ ಅಂದಾಜು 49 ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳು.